

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,888		12/02/2003	Juan Jesus Burdeniuc	06304P USA	2429	
23543	7590	11/23/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
AIR PROD PATENT DI		ND CHEMICALS ENT	COONEY, JOHN M			
7201 HAMILTON BOULEVARD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALLENTOV	VN, PA	181951501		1711		
			•	DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004	ı	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/724,888	BURDENIUC, JUAN JESUS
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John m Cooney	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a licon. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON. I statute, cause the application to become No.	ty (30) days will be considered timely. 130 This from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex part</i> e Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applic	ation	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	`	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐		ov the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received in Ap	plication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But	priority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		ossivad
	or and definited copies not r	COCIVEU.
		*
Attachment(s)	_	
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)
3) 🔀 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	3/08) 5) Notice of Info	Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1203</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/724,888

Art Unit: 1711

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of copending Application No. 10/724,887. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the copending applications vary in scope of catalyst make-ups in a manner which would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Although this rejection has been made provisional. It will be made non-provisional when Application Number 10/724,887, which had a Notice of Allowability mailed on 9-10-04, is assigned a Patent Number.

Art Unit: 1711

Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 10/724,884. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the copending applications vary in scope of catalyst make-ups in a manner which would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER